



Agjencia e Kosovës për Akreditim Agencija Kosova za Akreditaciju Kosovo Accreditation Agency

# UNIVERSITY OF BUSINESS AND TECHNOLOGY (UBT) PRISHTINA FOOD SCIENCE AND BIOTECHNOLOGY, B.Sc.

#### REACCREDITATION

#### REPORT OF THE EXPERT TEAM





# Agjencia e Kosovës për Akreditim Agencija Kosova za Akreditaciju Kosovo Accreditation Agency

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

#### Contents

TABLE	OF CONTENTS	2
1. INT	TRODUCTION	3
1.1.	Context	3
1.2.	Site visit schedule	4
1.3.	A brief overview of the institution and program under evaluation	4
2. PRO	OGRAM EVALUATION	5
2.1.	Mission, objectives and administration	5
2.2.	Quality management	7
2.3.	Academic staff	10
2.4.	Educational process content	13
2.5.	Students	16
2.6.	Research	19
2.7.	Infrastructure and resources	23
3. OV	ERALL EVALUATION AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE ET	25
4. API	PENDICES (if available)	25





#### Agjencia e Kosovës për Akreditim Agencija Kosova za Akreditaciju Kosovo Accreditation Agency

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1. Context

#### Date of site visit:

19.03.2021 per Video-Conference

#### **Expert Team (ET) members:**

• Prof. Dr. Joachim Müller – University of Hohenheim, DE

#### **Coordinators from Kosovo Accreditation Agency (KAA):**

- Naim Gashi, Executive Director of KAA
- Shkelzen Gerxhaliu, Senior Officer for Evaluation and Monitoring
- Arianit Krasniqi, Senior Officer for Evaluation and Accreditation
- Leona Kovaci, Senior Officer for Evaluation and Monitoring
- Ilirjane Ademaj, Senior Officer for Evaluation and Monitoring

#### **Sources of information for the Report:**

- SER
- Syllabus
- Staff CVs
- Annex 1-13

#### Criteria used for program evaluation:

CL	Compliance level	%
Α	Fully compliant	> 90
В	Substantially compliant	70-90
С	Partially compliant	$30-70^1$
D	Non-compliant	< 30
n.a.	not applicable	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 30-60% according KAA Accreditation Manual, corrected to close the gap





#### Agjencia e Kosovës për Akreditim Agencija Kosova za Akreditaciju Kosovo Accreditation Agency

#### 1.2. Site visit schedule

09.00 – 09.40	Meeting with the management of the faculty where the programme is integrated (no slide presentation is allowed, the meeting is intended as a free discussion
09.45 – 10:45	Meeting with the heads of the study programme 1:
	Food Science and Biotechnology/ BSc (Reaccreditation)
	Prishtina
10:50 - 11.50	Meeting with the heads of the study programme 2:
	Food Science and Biotechnology/ BSc (Accreditation)
	Prizren
11:50 – 12:30	Lunch break
12.30 – 13:10	Meeting with quality assurance representatives and administrative staff
13.15 – 14.05	Meeting with teaching staff
14.10 – 14.50	Meeting with students
14:55 – 15:35	Meeting with graduates
15:40 – 16:20	Meeting with employers of graduates
16:20 – 16:30	Internal meeting of KAA staff and experts
16:30 – 16:40	Closing meeting with the management of the faculty and
	program

#### 1.3. A brief overview of the institution and program under evaluation

Since no current general information about UBT was provided in the documents, information from a former site visit in 2019 is used:

UBT College was licensed to operate as a private bearer of higher education by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology with effect from 18.10.2004. UBT has 19 Faculties, whereof the Faculty of Food Science and Technology (FST) is hosting the study program under evaluation. UBT shows a steadily growing number of annual student intakes which was 3416 in the year 2018. Total number of students in Agriculture was 323 (as of 2019). Department of Food Science and Biotechnology started in 2015, comprising Laboratory for Microbiology, Laboratory for Biochemistry, Laboratory for Physico-chemical analysis and Laboratory for Instrumental Analysis.

The evaluated study program "Food Science and Biotechnology, B.Sc." comprises 180 ECTS over six semesters for 150 study places.





Agjencia e Kosovës për Akreditim Agencija Kosova za Akreditaciju Kosovo Accreditation Agency

#### 2. PROGRAM EVALUATION

#### 2.1. Mission, objectives and administration

Mission, objectives and administration	CL
Standard 1.1. The study program mission is in compliance with the overall mission statement of the institution.	В
The mission of the program is: "to contribute to the society and the economy of the country by offering the qualified student with modern scientific education and training and in line with labor market requirements, (SER p. 9)". Mission and objectives of the program are carefully defined and well adapted to the social needs of the country. It can be assumed that the program mission is in compliance with the overall mission statement of UBT, although such an overall UBT mission statement was not found in the documents.	
Standard 1.2. Relevant academic and professional advice is considered when defining the intended learning outcomes which are consistent with the National Qualifications Framework and the Framework for Qualifications of the European Higher Education Area.	A
The program is structured according Bologna Declaration on vocational education and training in the field of Food Science in terms of durance (3 years) and work load (180 ECTS) and thus meets the Framework for Qualifications of the European Higher Education Area. Academic advice is supported by the cooperation with several universities, mostly in Eastern Europe and Universities of Applied Science in Western Europe. Since UBT is also offering a science-oriented MSc program in Food Science and Technology as a continuation for BSc graduates, the contacts should be extended to leading Universities in the field such as Wageningen, Vienna, Munich or Hohenheim.	
Standard 1.3. The study program has a well-defined overarching didactic and research concept.	A
The didactic concept of the program comprises case studies, project work, problem-based learning, and simulation learning. According the SER, interaction learning opportunities are provided through project-based learning, case study analysis, guest speakers, teamwork and e-learning. Field visits and professional internships are important features of the learning process.	





# Agjencia e Kosovës për Akreditim Agencija Kosova za Akreditaciju Kosovo Accreditation Agency

Standard 1.4. There are formal policies, guidelines and regulations dealing with	С
recurring procedural or academic issues. These are made publicly available to all	
staff and students.	
It is claimed in the SER that UBT regulations can be found at a link provided on p.	
15. Unfortunately, the link is not working.	
Standard 1.5. All staff and students comply with the internal regulations relating to	A
ethical conduct in research, teaching, assessment in all academic and administrative	
activities.	
The Code of Ethics was provided as Appendix and seems to be publicly available	
under the link as mentioned in Standard 1.4. A Sub-Committee on Faculty Ethics	
monitors compliance with the code.	
Standard 1.6. All policies, regulations, terms of reference and statements of	A
responsibility relating to the management and delivery of the program are reviewed	
at least once every two years and amended as required in the light of changing	
circumstances.	
It is claimed in the SER that periodic reviews of policies and regulations are	
conducted in collaboration with the Office of Quality. Also, a Sub-Committee of	
Quality Assurance is mentioned. A time interval of the periodic reviews is not	
specified, it seems to be a steady rather than a periodic process.	
Overall	В

Compliance level: Substantially compliant

#### **ET recommendations:**

1. Academic cooperation should be extended to research oriented Universities





# Agjencia e Kosovës për Akreditim Agencija Kosova za Akreditaciju Kosovo Accreditation Agency

#### 2.2. Quality management

It is claimed in the SER that the staff is engaged in planning, implementation and decision making and that they also share good practices and engage in continuous evaluation.  Standard 2.2. Evaluation processes and planning for improvement are integrated into mormal planning processes.  UTB has established a sequence of (i) strategic plan, (ii) steps of actions and (iii) measurement of success, which finally results in an (iv) Improvement Plan (Annex 1). An example of an Improvement Plan for the program under evaluation was not included in the documents nor provided as a link to the UBT website (s. Standard 2.5).  Standard 2.3. Quality assurance processes deal with all aspects of program planning and delivery, including services and resources provided by other parts of the institution.  Quality assurance policy and procedure are based on a recently updated UBT Quality Manual. This standard can only be evaluated on good faith, as the UBT Quality Manual was not included in the documents.  Standard 2.4. Quality evaluations provide an overview of quality issues for the overall program as well as of different components within it; the evaluations consider inputs, processes and outputs, with particular attention given to learning outcomes for students.  Next to longer passages of non-relevant information, the SER claims at least at the end of the section that evaluations of inputs, processes and outputs with particular focus on learning outcomes are performed. However, more detailed information is	Quality management	CL
It is claimed in the SER that the staff is engaged in planning, implementation and decision making and that they also share good practices and engage in continuous evaluation.  Standard 2.2. Evaluation processes and planning for improvement are integrated into normal planning processes.  UTB has established a sequence of (i) strategic plan, (ii) steps of actions and (iii) measurement of success, which finally results in an (iv) Improvement Plan (Annex 1). An example of an Improvement Plan for the program under evaluation was not included in the documents nor provided as a link to the UBT website (s. Standard 2.5).  Standard 2.3. Quality assurance processes deal with all aspects of program planning and delivery, including services and resources provided by other parts of the institution.  Quality assurance policy and procedure are based on a recently updated UBT Quality Manual. This standard can only be evaluated on good faith, as the UBT Quality Manual was not included in the documents.  Standard 2.4. Quality evaluations provide an overview of quality issues for the overall program as well as of different components within it; the evaluations consider inputs, processes and outputs, with particular attention given to learning outcomes for students.  Next to longer passages of non-relevant information, the SER claims at least at the end of the section that evaluations of inputs, processes and outputs with particular focus on learning outcomes are performed. However, more detailed information is	Standard 2.1. All staff participate in self-evaluations and cooperate with reporting and	A
decision making and that they also share good practices and engage in continuous evaluation.  Standard 2.2. Evaluation processes and planning for improvement are integrated into normal planning processes.  UTB has established a sequence of (i) strategic plan, (ii) steps of actions and (iii) measurement of success, which finally results in an (iv) Improvement Plan (Annex 1).  An example of an Improvement Plan for the program under evaluation was not included in the documents nor provided as a link to the UBT website (s. Standard 2.5).  Standard 2.3. Quality assurance processes deal with all aspects of program planning and delivery, including services and resources provided by other parts of the institution.  Quality assurance policy and procedure are based on a recently updated UBT Quality Manual. This standard can only be evaluated on good faith, as the UBT Quality Manual was not included in the documents.  Standard 2.4. Quality evaluations provide an overview of quality issues for the overall program as well as of different components within it; the evaluations consider inputs, processes and outputs, with particular attention given to learning outcomes for students.  Next to longer passages of non-relevant information, the SER claims at least at the end of the section that evaluations of inputs, processes and outputs with particular focus on learning outcomes are performed. However, more detailed information is	improvement processes in their sphere of activity.	
decision making and that they also share good practices and engage in continuous evaluation.  Standard 2.2. Evaluation processes and planning for improvement are integrated into normal planning processes.  UTB has established a sequence of (i) strategic plan, (ii) steps of actions and (iii) measurement of success, which finally results in an (iv) Improvement Plan (Annex 1).  An example of an Improvement Plan for the program under evaluation was not included in the documents nor provided as a link to the UBT website (s. Standard 2.5).  Standard 2.3. Quality assurance processes deal with all aspects of program planning and delivery, including services and resources provided by other parts of the institution.  Quality assurance policy and procedure are based on a recently updated UBT Quality Manual. This standard can only be evaluated on good faith, as the UBT Quality Manual was not included in the documents.  Standard 2.4. Quality evaluations provide an overview of quality issues for the overall program as well as of different components within it; the evaluations consider inputs, processes and outputs, with particular attention given to learning outcomes for students.  Next to longer passages of non-relevant information, the SER claims at least at the end of the section that evaluations of inputs, processes and outputs with particular focus on learning outcomes are performed. However, more detailed information is		
Evaluation.  Standard 2.2. Evaluation processes and planning for improvement are integrated into normal planning processes.  UTB has established a sequence of (i) strategic plan, (ii) steps of actions and (iii) measurement of success, which finally results in an (iv) Improvement Plan (Annex 1).  An example of an Improvement Plan for the program under evaluation was not included in the documents nor provided as a link to the UBT website (s. Standard 2.5).  Standard 2.3. Quality assurance processes deal with all aspects of program planning and delivery, including services and resources provided by other parts of the institution.  Quality assurance policy and procedure are based on a recently updated UBT Quality Manual. This standard can only be evaluated on good faith, as the UBT Quality Manual was not included in the documents.  Standard 2.4. Quality evaluations provide an overview of quality issues for the overall program as well as of different components within it; the evaluations consider inputs, processes and outputs, with particular attention given to learning outcomes for students.  Next to longer passages of non-relevant information, the SER claims at least at the end of the section that evaluations of inputs, processes and outputs with particular focus on learning outcomes are performed. However, more detailed information is	It is claimed in the SER that the staff is engaged in planning, implementation and	
Standard 2.2. Evaluation processes and planning for improvement are integrated into normal planning processes.  UTB has established a sequence of (i) strategic plan, (ii) steps of actions and (iii) measurement of success, which finally results in an (iv) Improvement Plan (Annex 1). An example of an Improvement Plan for the program under evaluation was not included in the documents nor provided as a link to the UBT website (s. Standard 2.5).  Standard 2.3. Quality assurance processes deal with all aspects of program planning and delivery, including services and resources provided by other parts of the institution.  Quality assurance policy and procedure are based on a recently updated UBT Quality Manual. This standard can only be evaluated on good faith, as the UBT Quality Manual was not included in the documents.  Standard 2.4. Quality evaluations provide an overview of quality issues for the overall processes and outputs, with particular attention given to learning outcomes for students.  Next to longer passages of non-relevant information, the SER claims at least at the end of the section that evaluations of inputs, processes and outputs with particular focus on learning outcomes are performed. However, more detailed information is		
UTB has established a sequence of (i) strategic plan, (ii) steps of actions and (iii) measurement of success, which finally results in an (iv) Improvement Plan (Annex 1). An example of an Improvement Plan for the program under evaluation was not included in the documents nor provided as a link to the UBT website (s. Standard 2.5).  Standard 2.3. Quality assurance processes deal with all aspects of program planning and delivery, including services and resources provided by other parts of the institution.  Quality assurance policy and procedure are based on a recently updated UBT Quality Manual. This standard can only be evaluated on good faith, as the UBT Quality Manual was not included in the documents.  Standard 2.4. Quality evaluations provide an overview of quality issues for the overall program as well as of different components within it; the evaluations consider inputs, processes and outputs, with particular attention given to learning outcomes for students.  Next to longer passages of non-relevant information, the SER claims at least at the end of the section that evaluations of inputs, processes and outputs with particular focus on learning outcomes are performed. However, more detailed information is		_
UTB has established a sequence of (i) strategic plan, (ii) steps of actions and (iii) measurement of success, which finally results in an (iv) Improvement Plan (Annex 1). An example of an Improvement Plan for the program under evaluation was not included in the documents nor provided as a link to the UBT website (s. Standard 2.5).   Standard 2.3. Quality assurance processes deal with all aspects of program planning and delivery, including services and resources provided by other parts of the institution.  Quality assurance policy and procedure are based on a recently updated UBT Quality Manual was not included in the documents.  Standard 2.4. Quality evaluations provide an overview of quality issues for the overall program as well as of different components within it; the evaluations consider inputs, processes and outputs, with particular attention given to learning outcomes for students.  Next to longer passages of non-relevant information, the SER claims at least at the end of the section that evaluations of inputs, processes and outputs with particular focus on learning outcomes are performed. However, more detailed information is		В
measurement of success, which finally results in an (iv) Improvement Plan (Annex 1).  An example of an Improvement Plan for the program under evaluation was not included in the documents nor provided as a link to the UBT website (s. Standard 2.5).  Standard 2.3. Quality assurance processes deal with all aspects of program planning and delivery, including services and resources provided by other parts of the institution.  Quality assurance policy and procedure are based on a recently updated UBT Quality Manual. This standard can only be evaluated on good faith, as the UBT Quality Manual was not included in the documents.  Standard 2.4. Quality evaluations provide an overview of quality issues for the overall program as well as of different components within it; the evaluations consider inputs, processes and outputs, with particular attention given to learning outcomes for students.  Next to longer passages of non-relevant information, the SER claims at least at the end of the section that evaluations of inputs, processes and outputs with particular focus on learning outcomes are performed. However, more detailed information is	normal planning processes.	
measurement of success, which finally results in an (iv) Improvement Plan (Annex 1).  An example of an Improvement Plan for the program under evaluation was not included in the documents nor provided as a link to the UBT website (s. Standard 2.5).  Standard 2.3. Quality assurance processes deal with all aspects of program planning and delivery, including services and resources provided by other parts of the institution.  Quality assurance policy and procedure are based on a recently updated UBT Quality Manual. This standard can only be evaluated on good faith, as the UBT Quality Manual was not included in the documents.  Standard 2.4. Quality evaluations provide an overview of quality issues for the overall program as well as of different components within it; the evaluations consider inputs, processes and outputs, with particular attention given to learning outcomes for students.  Next to longer passages of non-relevant information, the SER claims at least at the end of the section that evaluations of inputs, processes and outputs with particular focus on learning outcomes are performed. However, more detailed information is		
An example of an Improvement Plan for the program under evaluation was not included in the documents nor provided as a link to the UBT website (s. Standard 2.5).  Standard 2.3. Quality assurance processes deal with all aspects of program planning and delivery, including services and resources provided by other parts of the institution.  Quality assurance policy and procedure are based on a recently updated UBT Quality Manual. This standard can only be evaluated on good faith, as the UBT Quality Manual was not included in the documents.  Standard 2.4. Quality evaluations provide an overview of quality issues for the overall program as well as of different components within it; the evaluations consider inputs, processes and outputs, with particular attention given to learning outcomes for students.  Next to longer passages of non-relevant information, the SER claims at least at the end of the section that evaluations of inputs, processes and outputs with particular focus on learning outcomes are performed. However, more detailed information is		
included in the documents nor provided as a link to the UBT website (s. Standard 2.5).  Standard 2.3. Quality assurance processes deal with all aspects of program planning and delivery, including services and resources provided by other parts of the institution.  Quality assurance policy and procedure are based on a recently updated UBT Quality Manual. This standard can only be evaluated on good faith, as the UBT Quality Manual was not included in the documents.  Standard 2.4. Quality evaluations provide an overview of quality issues for the overall program as well as of different components within it; the evaluations consider inputs, processes and outputs, with particular attention given to learning outcomes for students.  Next to longer passages of non-relevant information, the SER claims at least at the end of the section that evaluations of inputs, processes and outputs with particular focus on learning outcomes are performed. However, more detailed information is		
Standard 2.3. Quality assurance processes deal with all aspects of program planning and delivery, including services and resources provided by other parts of the institution.  Quality assurance policy and procedure are based on a recently updated UBT Quality Manual. This standard can only be evaluated on good faith, as the UBT Quality Manual was not included in the documents.  Standard 2.4. Quality evaluations provide an overview of quality issues for the overall program as well as of different components within it; the evaluations consider inputs, processes and outputs, with particular attention given to learning outcomes for students.  Next to longer passages of non-relevant information, the SER claims at least at the end of the section that evaluations of inputs, processes and outputs with particular focus on learning outcomes are performed. However, more detailed information is		
and delivery, including services and resources provided by other parts of the institution.  Quality assurance policy and procedure are based on a recently updated UBT Quality Manual. This standard can only be evaluated on good faith, as the UBT Quality Manual was not included in the documents.  Standard 2.4. Quality evaluations provide an overview of quality issues for the overall program as well as of different components within it; the evaluations consider inputs, processes and outputs, with particular attention given to learning outcomes for students.  Next to longer passages of non-relevant information, the SER claims at least at the end of the section that evaluations of inputs, processes and outputs with particular focus on learning outcomes are performed. However, more detailed information is	included in the documents nor provided as a link to the UBT website (s. Standard 2.5).	
Quality assurance policy and procedure are based on a recently updated UBT Quality Manual. This standard can only be evaluated on good faith, as the UBT Quality Manual was not included in the documents.  Standard 2.4. Quality evaluations provide an overview of quality issues for the overall program as well as of different components within it; the evaluations consider inputs, processes and outputs, with particular attention given to learning outcomes for students.  Next to longer passages of non-relevant information, the SER claims at least at the end of the section that evaluations of inputs, processes and outputs with particular focus on learning outcomes are performed. However, more detailed information is	Standard 2.3. Quality assurance processes deal with all aspects of program planning	В
Quality assurance policy and procedure are based on a recently updated UBT Quality Manual. This standard can only be evaluated on good faith, as the UBT Quality Manual was not included in the documents.  Standard 2.4. Quality evaluations provide an overview of quality issues for the overall program as well as of different components within it; the evaluations consider inputs, processes and outputs, with particular attention given to learning outcomes for students.  Next to longer passages of non-relevant information, the SER claims at least at the end of the section that evaluations of inputs, processes and outputs with particular focus on learning outcomes are performed. However, more detailed information is	and delivery, including services and resources provided by other parts of the	
Manual. This standard can only be evaluated on good faith, as the UBT Quality Manual was not included in the documents.  Standard 2.4. Quality evaluations provide an overview of quality issues for the overall program as well as of different components within it; the evaluations consider inputs, processes and outputs, with particular attention given to learning outcomes for students.  Next to longer passages of non-relevant information, the SER claims at least at the end of the section that evaluations of inputs, processes and outputs with particular focus on learning outcomes are performed. However, more detailed information is	institution.	
program as well as of different components within it; the evaluations consider inputs, processes and outputs, with particular attention given to learning outcomes for students.  Next to longer passages of non-relevant information, the SER claims at least at the end of the section that evaluations of inputs, processes and outputs with particular focus on learning outcomes are performed. However, more detailed information is	Quality assurance policy and procedure are based on a recently updated UBT Quality Manual. This standard can only be evaluated on good faith, as the UBT Quality Manual was not included in the documents.	
processes and outputs, with particular attention given to learning outcomes for students.  Next to longer passages of non-relevant information, the SER claims at least at the end of the section that evaluations of inputs, processes and outputs with particular focus on learning outcomes are performed. However, more detailed information is	Standard 2.4. Quality evaluations provide an overview of quality issues for the overall	C
Next to longer passages of non-relevant information, the SER claims at least at the end of the section that evaluations of inputs, processes and outputs with particular focus on learning outcomes are performed. However, more detailed information is	program as well as of different components within it; the evaluations consider inputs,	
Next to longer passages of non-relevant information, the SER claims at least at the end of the section that evaluations of inputs, processes and outputs with particular focus on learning outcomes are performed. However, more detailed information is	processes and outputs, with particular attention given to learning outcomes for	
end of the section that evaluations of inputs, processes and outputs with particular focus on learning outcomes are performed. However, more detailed information is	students.	
	Next to longer passages of non-relevant information, the SER claims at least at the end of the section that evaluations of inputs, processes and outputs with particular focus on learning outcomes are performed. However, more detailed information is missing.	
Standard 2.5. Quality assurance processes ensure both that required standards are B	Standard 2.5. Quality assurance processes ensure both that required standards are	В
met and that there is continuing improvement in performance.	met and that there is continuing improvement in performance.	





# Agjencia e Kosovës për Akreditim Agencija Kosova za Akreditaciju Kosovo Accreditation Agency

This issue was already addressed in Standard 2.2. Additionally, it is claimed in the SER, that the Improvement Plan for the subsequent year is part of public information. A link to the UBT website should be provided.  Standard 2.6. Survey data is being collected from students, graduates and employers; the results of these evaluations are made publicly available.  Next to longer passages of non-relevant information, the SER claims that survey data are collected, analysed and used to produce periodic reports. Examples of reports were not included in the documents nor was explained, how such reports are made publicly available.  Standard 2.7. Results of the internal quality assurance system are taken into account for further development of the study program. This includes evaluation results, investigation of the student workload, academic success and employment of graduates.  It is claimed in the SER that evaluations of student workload, progression and achievement are performed and that surveys among graduates on employment rates are systematically conducted. Results of those surveys were not presented.  Standard 2.8. The institution ensures that reports on the overall quality of the program are prepared periodically (eg. every three years) for consideration within the institution indicating its strengths and weaknesses.  It is claimed in the SER that a self-evaluation under involvement of the Dean, Chairs of Programs, two academic staff members, student representative, alumni representative, programme administrator, student services officer and industry representatives is performed on a regular basis.  Standard 2.9. The quality assurance arrangements for the program are themselves regularly evaluated and improved.  It is claimed in the SER that the existing quality management framework is regularly reviewed in terms of the appropriateness and effectiveness according ISO 9001:2015 standard.		
A link to the UBT website should be provided.  Standard 2.6. Survey data is being collected from students, graduates and employers; C the results of these evaluations are made publicly available.  Next to longer passages of non-relevant information, the SER claims that survey data are collected, analysed and used to produce periodic reports. Examples of reports were not included in the documents nor was explained, how such reports are made publicly available.  Standard 2.7. Results of the internal quality assurance system are taken into account for further development of the study program. This includes evaluation results, investigation of the student workload, academic success and employment of graduates.  It is claimed in the SER that evaluations of student workload, progression and achievement are performed and that surveys among graduates on employment rates are systematically conducted. Results of those surveys were not presented.  Standard 2.8. The institution ensures that reports on the overall quality of the program are prepared periodically (eg. every three years) for consideration within the institution indicating its strengths and weaknesses.  It is claimed in the SER that a self-evaluation under involvement of the Dean, Chairs of Programs, two academic staff members, student representative, alumni representative, programme administrator, student services officer and industry representatives is performed on a regular basis.  Standard 2.9. The quality assurance arrangements for the program are themselves regularly evaluated and improved.  It is claimed in the SER that the existing quality management framework is regularly reviewed in terms of the appropriateness and effectiveness according ISO 9001:2015 standard.	This issue was already addressed in Standard 2.2. Additionally, it is claimed in the	
Standard 2.6. Survey data is being collected from students, graduates and employers; the results of these evaluations are made publicly available.  Next to longer passages of non-relevant information, the SER claims that survey data are collected, analysed and used to produce periodic reports. Examples of reports were not included in the documents nor was explained, how such reports are made publicly available.  Standard 2.7. Results of the internal quality assurance system are taken into account for further development of the study program. This includes evaluation results, investigation of the student workload, academic success and employment of graduates.  It is claimed in the SER that evaluations of student workload, progression and achievement are performed and that surveys among graduates on employment rates are systematically conducted. Results of those surveys were not presented.  Standard 2.8. The institution ensures that reports on the overall quality of the program are prepared periodically (eg. every three years) for consideration within the institution indicating its strengths and weaknesses.  It is claimed in the SER that a self-evaluation under involvement of the Dean, Chairs of Programs, two academic staff members, student representative, alumni representative, programme administrator, student services officer and industry representatives is performed on a regular basis.  Standard 2.9. The quality assurance arrangements for the program are themselves regularly evaluated and improved.  It is claimed in the SER that the existing quality management framework is regularly reviewed in terms of the appropriateness and effectiveness according ISO 9001:2015 standard.	SER, that the Improvement Plan for the subsequent year is part of public information.	
Next to longer passages of non-relevant information, the SER claims that survey data are collected, analysed and used to produce periodic reports. Examples of reports were not included in the documents nor was explained, how such reports are made publicly available.  Standard 2.7. Results of the internal quality assurance system are taken into account for further development of the study program. This includes evaluation results, investigation of the student workload, academic success and employment of graduates.  It is claimed in the SER that evaluations of student workload, progression and achievement are performed and that surveys among graduates on employment rates are systematically conducted. Results of those surveys were not presented.  Standard 2.8. The institution ensures that reports on the overall quality of the program are prepared periodically (eg. every three years) for consideration within the institution indicating its strengths and weaknesses.  It is claimed in the SER that a self-evaluation under involvement of the Dean, Chairs of Programs, two academic staff members, student representative, alumni representative, programme administrator, student services officer and industry representatives is performed on a regular basis.  Standard 2.9. The quality assurance arrangements for the program are themselves regularly evaluated and improved.  It is claimed in the SER that the existing quality management framework is regularly reviewed in terms of the appropriateness and effectiveness according ISO 9001:2015 standard.	A link to the UBT website should be provided.	
Next to longer passages of non-relevant information, the SER claims that survey data are collected, analysed and used to produce periodic reports. Examples of reports were not included in the documents nor was explained, how such reports are made publicly available.  Standard 2.7. Results of the internal quality assurance system are taken into account for further development of the study program. This includes evaluation results, investigation of the student workload, academic success and employment of graduates.  It is claimed in the SER that evaluations of student workload, progression and achievement are performed and that surveys among graduates on employment rates are systematically conducted. Results of those surveys were not presented.  Standard 2.8. The institution ensures that reports on the overall quality of the program are prepared periodically (eg. every three years) for consideration within the institution indicating its strengths and weaknesses.  It is claimed in the SER that a self-evaluation under involvement of the Dean, Chairs of Programs, two academic staff members, student representative, alumni representative, programme administrator, student services officer and industry representatives is performed on a regular basis.  Standard 2.9. The quality assurance arrangements for the program are themselves regularly evaluated and improved.  It is claimed in the SER that the existing quality management framework is regularly reviewed in terms of the appropriateness and effectiveness according ISO 9001:2015 standard.	Standard 2.6. Survey data is being collected from students, graduates and employers;	С
are collected, analysed and used to produce periodic reports. Examples of reports were not included in the documents nor was explained, how such reports are made publicly available.  Standard 2.7. Results of the internal quality assurance system are taken into account for further development of the study program. This includes evaluation results, investigation of the student workload, academic success and employment of graduates.  It is claimed in the SER that evaluations of student workload, progression and achievement are performed and that surveys among graduates on employment rates are systematically conducted. Results of those surveys were not presented.  Standard 2.8. The institution ensures that reports on the overall quality of the program are prepared periodically (eg. every three years) for consideration within the institution indicating its strengths and weaknesses.  It is claimed in the SER that a self-evaluation under involvement of the Dean, Chairs of Programs, two academic staff members, student representative, alumni representative, programme administrator, student services officer and industry representatives is performed on a regular basis.  Standard 2.9. The quality assurance arrangements for the program are themselves regularly evaluated and improved.  It is claimed in the SER that the existing quality management framework is regularly reviewed in terms of the appropriateness and effectiveness according ISO 9001:2015 standard.	the results of these evaluations are made publicly available.	
are collected, analysed and used to produce periodic reports. Examples of reports were not included in the documents nor was explained, how such reports are made publicly available.  Standard 2.7. Results of the internal quality assurance system are taken into account for further development of the study program. This includes evaluation results, investigation of the student workload, academic success and employment of graduates.  It is claimed in the SER that evaluations of student workload, progression and achievement are performed and that surveys among graduates on employment rates are systematically conducted. Results of those surveys were not presented.  Standard 2.8. The institution ensures that reports on the overall quality of the program are prepared periodically (eg. every three years) for consideration within the institution indicating its strengths and weaknesses.  It is claimed in the SER that a self-evaluation under involvement of the Dean, Chairs of Programs, two academic staff members, student representative, alumni representative, programme administrator, student services officer and industry representatives is performed on a regular basis.  Standard 2.9. The quality assurance arrangements for the program are themselves regularly evaluated and improved.  It is claimed in the SER that the existing quality management framework is regularly reviewed in terms of the appropriateness and effectiveness according ISO 9001:2015 standard.		
not included in the documents nor was explained, how such reports are made publicly available.  Standard 2.7. Results of the internal quality assurance system are taken into account for further development of the study program. This includes evaluation results, investigation of the student workload, academic success and employment of graduates.  It is claimed in the SER that evaluations of student workload, progression and achievement are performed and that surveys among graduates on employment rates are systematically conducted. Results of those surveys were not presented.  Standard 2.8. The institution ensures that reports on the overall quality of the program are prepared periodically (eg. every three years) for consideration within the institution indicating its strengths and weaknesses.  It is claimed in the SER that a self-evaluation under involvement of the Dean, Chairs of Programs, two academic staff members, student representative, alumni representative, programme administrator, student services officer and industry representatives is performed on a regular basis.  Standard 2.9. The quality assurance arrangements for the program are themselves regularly evaluated and improved.  It is claimed in the SER that the existing quality management framework is regularly reviewed in terms of the appropriateness and effectiveness according ISO 9001:2015 standard.		
available.  Standard 2.7. Results of the internal quality assurance system are taken into account for further development of the study program. This includes evaluation results, investigation of the student workload, academic success and employment of graduates.  It is claimed in the SER that evaluations of student workload, progression and achievement are performed and that surveys among graduates on employment rates are systematically conducted. Results of those surveys were not presented.  Standard 2.8. The institution ensures that reports on the overall quality of the program are prepared periodically (eg. every three years) for consideration within the institution indicating its strengths and weaknesses.  It is claimed in the SER that a self-evaluation under involvement of the Dean, Chairs of Programs, two academic staff members, student representative, alumni representative, programme administrator, student services officer and industry representatives is performed on a regular basis.  Standard 2.9. The quality assurance arrangements for the program are themselves are gularly evaluated and improved.  It is claimed in the SER that the existing quality management framework is regularly reviewed in terms of the appropriateness and effectiveness according ISO 9001:2015 standard.		
for further development of the study program. This includes evaluation results, investigation of the student workload, academic success and employment of graduates.  It is claimed in the SER that evaluations of student workload, progression and achievement are performed and that surveys among graduates on employment rates are systematically conducted. Results of those surveys were not presented.  Standard 2.8. The institution ensures that reports on the overall quality of the program A are prepared periodically (eg. every three years) for consideration within the institution indicating its strengths and weaknesses.  It is claimed in the SER that a self-evaluation under involvement of the Dean, Chairs of Programs, two academic staff members, student representative, alumni representative, programme administrator, student services officer and industry representatives is performed on a regular basis.  Standard 2.9. The quality assurance arrangements for the program are themselves A regularly evaluated and improved.  It is claimed in the SER that the existing quality management framework is regularly reviewed in terms of the appropriateness and effectiveness according ISO 9001:2015 standard.		
It is claimed in the SER that evaluations of student workload, progression and achievement are performed and that surveys among graduates on employment rates are systematically conducted. Results of those surveys were not presented.  Standard 2.8. The institution ensures that reports on the overall quality of the program A are prepared periodically (eg. every three years) for consideration within the institution indicating its strengths and weaknesses.  It is claimed in the SER that a self-evaluation under involvement of the Dean, Chairs of Programs, two academic staff members, student representative, alumni representative, programme administrator, student services officer and industry representatives is performed on a regular basis.  Standard 2.9. The quality assurance arrangements for the program are themselves regularly evaluated and improved.  It is claimed in the SER that the existing quality management framework is regularly reviewed in terms of the appropriateness and effectiveness according ISO 9001:2015 standard.	Standard 2.7. Results of the internal quality assurance system are taken into account	В
It is claimed in the SER that evaluations of student workload, progression and achievement are performed and that surveys among graduates on employment rates are systematically conducted. Results of those surveys were not presented.  Standard 2.8. The institution ensures that reports on the overall quality of the program A are prepared periodically (eg. every three years) for consideration within the institution indicating its strengths and weaknesses.  It is claimed in the SER that a self-evaluation under involvement of the Dean, Chairs of Programs, two academic staff members, student representative, alumni representative, programme administrator, student services officer and industry representatives is performed on a regular basis.  Standard 2.9. The quality assurance arrangements for the program are themselves regularly evaluated and improved.  It is claimed in the SER that the existing quality management framework is regularly reviewed in terms of the appropriateness and effectiveness according ISO 9001:2015 standard.	for further development of the study program. This includes evaluation results,	
achievement are performed and that surveys among graduates on employment rates are systematically conducted. Results of those surveys were not presented.  Standard 2.8. The institution ensures that reports on the overall quality of the program A are prepared periodically (eg. every three years) for consideration within the institution indicating its strengths and weaknesses.  It is claimed in the SER that a self-evaluation under involvement of the Dean, Chairs of Programs, two academic staff members, student representative, alumni representative, programme administrator, student services officer and industry representatives is performed on a regular basis.  Standard 2.9. The quality assurance arrangements for the program are themselves A regularly evaluated and improved.  It is claimed in the SER that the existing quality management framework is regularly reviewed in terms of the appropriateness and effectiveness according ISO 9001:2015 standard.	investigation of the student workload, academic success and employment of graduates.	
achievement are performed and that surveys among graduates on employment rates are systematically conducted. Results of those surveys were not presented.  Standard 2.8. The institution ensures that reports on the overall quality of the program A are prepared periodically (eg. every three years) for consideration within the institution indicating its strengths and weaknesses.  It is claimed in the SER that a self-evaluation under involvement of the Dean, Chairs of Programs, two academic staff members, student representative, alumni representative, programme administrator, student services officer and industry representatives is performed on a regular basis.  Standard 2.9. The quality assurance arrangements for the program are themselves A regularly evaluated and improved.  It is claimed in the SER that the existing quality management framework is regularly reviewed in terms of the appropriateness and effectiveness according ISO 9001:2015 standard.		
are systematically conducted. Results of those surveys were not presented.  Standard 2.8. The institution ensures that reports on the overall quality of the program A are prepared periodically (eg. every three years) for consideration within the institution indicating its strengths and weaknesses.  It is claimed in the SER that a self-evaluation under involvement of the Dean, Chairs of Programs, two academic staff members, student representative, alumni representative, programme administrator, student services officer and industry representatives is performed on a regular basis.  Standard 2.9. The quality assurance arrangements for the program are themselves A regularly evaluated and improved.  It is claimed in the SER that the existing quality management framework is regularly reviewed in terms of the appropriateness and effectiveness according ISO 9001:2015 standard.		
Standard 2.8. The institution ensures that reports on the overall quality of the program are prepared periodically (eg. every three years) for consideration within the institution indicating its strengths and weaknesses.  It is claimed in the SER that a self-evaluation under involvement of the Dean, Chairs of Programs, two academic staff members, student representative, alumni representative, programme administrator, student services officer and industry representatives is performed on a regular basis.  Standard 2.9. The quality assurance arrangements for the program are themselves A regularly evaluated and improved.  It is claimed in the SER that the existing quality management framework is regularly reviewed in terms of the appropriateness and effectiveness according ISO 9001:2015 standard.		
are prepared periodically (eg. every three years) for consideration within the institution indicating its strengths and weaknesses.  It is claimed in the SER that a self-evaluation under involvement of the Dean, Chairs of Programs, two academic staff members, student representative, alumni representative, programme administrator, student services officer and industry representatives is performed on a regular basis.  Standard 2.9. The quality assurance arrangements for the program are themselves A regularly evaluated and improved.  It is claimed in the SER that the existing quality management framework is regularly reviewed in terms of the appropriateness and effectiveness according ISO 9001:2015 standard.		Α
institution indicating its strengths and weaknesses.  It is claimed in the SER that a self-evaluation under involvement of the Dean, Chairs of Programs, two academic staff members, student representative, alumni representative, programme administrator, student services officer and industry representatives is performed on a regular basis.  Standard 2.9. The quality assurance arrangements for the program are themselves A regularly evaluated and improved.  It is claimed in the SER that the existing quality management framework is regularly reviewed in terms of the appropriateness and effectiveness according ISO 9001:2015 standard.		A
It is claimed in the SER that a self-evaluation under involvement of the Dean, Chairs of Programs, two academic staff members, student representative, alumni representative, programme administrator, student services officer and industry representatives is performed on a regular basis.  Standard 2.9. The quality assurance arrangements for the program are themselves A regularly evaluated and improved.  It is claimed in the SER that the existing quality management framework is regularly reviewed in terms of the appropriateness and effectiveness according ISO 9001:2015 standard.		
of Programs, two academic staff members, student representative, alumni representative, programme administrator, student services officer and industry representatives is performed on a regular basis.  Standard 2.9. The quality assurance arrangements for the program are themselves A regularly evaluated and improved.  It is claimed in the SER that the existing quality management framework is regularly reviewed in terms of the appropriateness and effectiveness according ISO 9001:2015 standard.	institution indicating its strengths and weaknesses.	
of Programs, two academic staff members, student representative, alumni representative, programme administrator, student services officer and industry representatives is performed on a regular basis.  Standard 2.9. The quality assurance arrangements for the program are themselves A regularly evaluated and improved.  It is claimed in the SER that the existing quality management framework is regularly reviewed in terms of the appropriateness and effectiveness according ISO 9001:2015 standard.	It is alaimed in the SED that a salf avaluation under involvement of the Deen Chairs	
representative, programme administrator, student services officer and industry representatives is performed on a regular basis.  Standard 2.9. The quality assurance arrangements for the program are themselves A regularly evaluated and improved.  It is claimed in the SER that the existing quality management framework is regularly reviewed in terms of the appropriateness and effectiveness according ISO 9001:2015 standard.	/	
representatives is performed on a regular basis.  Standard 2.9. The quality assurance arrangements for the program are themselves A regularly evaluated and improved.  It is claimed in the SER that the existing quality management framework is regularly reviewed in terms of the appropriateness and effectiveness according ISO 9001:2015 standard.	1	
Standard 2.9. The quality assurance arrangements for the program are themselves A regularly evaluated and improved.  It is claimed in the SER that the existing quality management framework is regularly reviewed in terms of the appropriateness and effectiveness according ISO 9001:2015 standard.		
It is claimed in the SER that the existing quality management framework is regularly reviewed in terms of the appropriateness and effectiveness according ISO 9001:2015 standard.		A
reviewed in terms of the appropriateness and effectiveness according ISO 9001:2015 standard.	regularly evaluated and improved.	
reviewed in terms of the appropriateness and effectiveness according ISO 9001:2015 standard.		
standard.		
Overan		D
	Overan	В

Compliance level: Substantially compliant





Agjencia e Kosovës për Akreditim Agencija Kosova za Akreditaciju Kosovo Accreditation Agency

#### ET recommendations:

1. Reports of surveys and evaluations should be exemplarily provided as documents in Appendix of the SER. In case public reports are expected by KAA standards, a link to the respective institution website has to be provided.





# Agjencia e Kosovës për Akreditim Agencija Kosova za Akreditaciju Kosovo Accreditation Agency

#### 2.3. Academic staff

and conditions of employment. To be presented in tabular form data about full time (FT) and part time (PT) academic/ artistic staff, such as: name, qualification, academic title, duration of official (valid) contract, workload for teaching, exams, consulting, administrative activities, research, etc. for the study program under evaluation.  A table with the required data for the staff is provided in the SER. An impressive number of 65 staff members is presented, 47 of them with doctor title. It has to be mentioned, that not all listed staff members are found in the curriculum. The time allocation for research, teaching and administration is 40/30/30 for all staff members. However, information about full time (FT) and part time (PT) is not provided.  Standard 3.2. The teaching staff must comply with the legal requirements concerning the occupation of teaching positions included in the Administrative instruction on Accreditation.  In the SER it is claimed that the staff members of the program have been certified by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency.  Standard 3.3. Academic staff do not cover, within an academic year, more than two teaching positions (one full-time, one part-time), regardless of the educational institution where they carry out their activity.  In the SER it is claimed that the programme teaching staff is mainly full-time and that the list has been verified with the e-Accreditation System operated by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency. The ET tacitly presumes, that the verification of KAA also comprises the criteria of maximum of two teaching positions per staff member.  Standard 3.4. At least 50% of the academic staff in the study program are full time employees, and account for at least 50% of the classes of the study program.	Academic staff	CL
(FT) and part time (PT) academic artistic staff, such as: name, qualification, academic title, duration of official (valid) contract, workload for teaching, exams, consulting, administrative activities, research, etc. for the study program under evaluation.  A table with the required data for the staff is provided in the SER. An impressive number of 65 staff members is presented, 47 of them with doctor title. It has to be mentioned, that not all listed staff members are found in the curriculum. The time allocation for research, teaching and administration is 40/30/30 for all staff members. However, information about full time (FT) and part time (PT) is not provided.  Standard 3.2. The teaching staff must comply with the legal requirements concerning the occupation of teaching positions included in the Administrative instruction on Accreditation.  In the SER it is claimed that the staff members of the program have been certified by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency.  Standard 3.3. Academic staff do not cover, within an academic year, more than two teaching positions (one full-time, one part-time), regardless of the educational institution where they carry out their activity.  In the SER it is claimed that the programme teaching staff is mainly full-time and that the list has been verified with the e-Accreditation System operated by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency. The ET tacitly presumes, that the verification of KAA also comprises the criteria of maximum of two teaching positions per staff member.  Standard 3.4. At least 50% of the academic staff in the study program are full time employees, and account for at least 50% of the classes of the study program.	Standard 3.1. Candidates for employment are provided with full position descriptions	В
academic title, duration of official (valid) contract, workload for teaching, exams, consulting, administrative activities, research, etc. for the study program under evaluation.  A table with the required data for the staff is provided in the SER. An impressive number of 65 staff members is presented, 47 of them with doctor title. It has to be mentioned, that not all listed staff members are found in the curriculum. The time allocation for research, teaching and administration is 40/30/30 for all staff members. However, information about full time (FT) and part time (PT) is not provided.  Standard 3.2. The teaching staff must comply with the legal requirements concerning the occupation of teaching positions included in the Administrative instruction on Accreditation.  In the SER it is claimed that the staff members of the program have been certified by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency.  Standard 3.3. Academic staff do not cover, within an academic year, more than two teaching positions (one full-time, one part-time), regardless of the educational institution where they carry out their activity.  In the SER it is claimed that the programme teaching staff is mainly full-time and that the list has been verified with the e-Accreditation System operated by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency. The ET tacitly presumes, that the verification of KAA also comprises the criteria of maximum of two teaching positions per staff member.  Standard 3.4. At least 50% of the academic staff in the study program are full time employees, and account for at least 50% of the classes of the study program.	and conditions of employment. To be presented in tabular form data about full time	
Consulting, administrative activities, research, etc. for the study program under evaluation.  A table with the required data for the staff is provided in the SER. An impressive number of 65 staff members is presented, 47 of them with doctor title. It has to be mentioned, that not all listed staff members are found in the curriculum. The time allocation for research, teaching and administration is 40/30/30 for all staff members. However, information about full time (FT) and part time (PT) is not provided.  Standard 3.2. The teaching staff must comply with the legal requirements concerning the occupation of teaching positions included in the Administrative instruction on Accreditation.  In the SER it is claimed that the staff members of the program have been certified by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency.  Standard 3.3. Academic staff do not cover, within an academic year, more than two teaching positions (one full-time, one part-time), regardless of the educational institution where they carry out their activity.  In the SER it is claimed that the programme teaching staff is mainly full-time and that the list has been verified with the e-Accreditation System operated by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency. The ET tacitly presumes, that the verification of KAA also comprises the criteria of maximum of two teaching positions per staff member.  Standard 3.4. At least 50% of the academic staff in the study program are full time employees, and account for at least 50% of the classes of the study program.	(FT) and part time (PT) academic/ artistic staff, such as: name, qualification,	
A table with the required data for the staff is provided in the SER. An impressive number of 65 staff members is presented, 47 of them with doctor title. It has to be mentioned, that not all listed staff members are found in the curriculum. The time allocation for research, teaching and administration is 40/30/30 for all staff members. However, information about full time (FT) and part time (PT) is not provided.  Standard 3.2. The teaching staff must comply with the legal requirements concerning the occupation of teaching positions included in the Administrative instruction on Accreditation.  In the SER it is claimed that the staff members of the program have been certified by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency.  Standard 3.3. Academic staff do not cover, within an academic year, more than two teaching positions (one full-time, one part-time), regardless of the educational institution where they carry out their activity.  In the SER it is claimed that the programme teaching staff is mainly full-time and that the list has been verified with the e-Accreditation System operated by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency. The ET tacitly presumes, that the verification of KAA also comprises the criteria of maximum of two teaching positions per staff member.  Standard 3.4. At least 50% of the academic staff in the study program are full time employees, and account for at least 50% of the classes of the study program.	academic title, duration of official (valid) contract, workload for teaching, exams,	
A table with the required data for the staff is provided in the SER. An impressive number of 65 staff members is presented, 47 of them with doctor title. It has to be mentioned, that not all listed staff members are found in the curriculum. The time allocation for research, teaching and administration is 40/30/30 for all staff members. However, information about full time (FT) and part time (PT) is not provided.  Standard 3.2. The teaching staff must comply with the legal requirements concerning the occupation of teaching positions included in the Administrative instruction on Accreditation.  In the SER it is claimed that the staff members of the program have been certified by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency.  Standard 3.3. Academic staff do not cover, within an academic year, more than two teaching positions (one full-time, one part-time), regardless of the educational institution where they carry out their activity.  In the SER it is claimed that the programme teaching staff is mainly full-time and that the list has been verified with the e-Accreditation System operated by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency. The ET tacitly presumes, that the verification of KAA also comprises the criteria of maximum of two teaching positions per staff member.  Standard 3.4. At least 50% of the academic staff in the study program are full time employees, and account for at least 50% of the classes of the study program.	consulting, administrative activities, research, etc. for the study program under	
number of 65 staff members is presented, 47 of them with doctor title. It has to be mentioned, that not all listed staff members are found in the curriculum. The time allocation for research, teaching and administration is 40/30/30 for all staff members. However, information about full time (FT) and part time (PT) is not provided.  Standard 3.2. The teaching staff must comply with the legal requirements concerning the occupation of teaching positions included in the Administrative instruction on Accreditation.  In the SER it is claimed that the staff members of the program have been certified by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency.  Standard 3.3. Academic staff do not cover, within an academic year, more than two teaching positions (one full-time, one part-time), regardless of the educational institution where they carry out their activity.  In the SER it is claimed that the programme teaching staff is mainly full-time and that the list has been verified with the e-Accreditation System operated by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency. The ET tacitly presumes, that the verification of KAA also comprises the criteria of maximum of two teaching positions per staff member.  Standard 3.4. At least 50% of the academic staff in the study program are full time employees, and account for at least 50% of the classes of the study program.	evaluation.	
number of 65 staff members is presented, 47 of them with doctor title. It has to be mentioned, that not all listed staff members are found in the curriculum. The time allocation for research, teaching and administration is 40/30/30 for all staff members. However, information about full time (FT) and part time (PT) is not provided.  Standard 3.2. The teaching staff must comply with the legal requirements concerning the occupation of teaching positions included in the Administrative instruction on Accreditation.  In the SER it is claimed that the staff members of the program have been certified by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency.  Standard 3.3. Academic staff do not cover, within an academic year, more than two teaching positions (one full-time, one part-time), regardless of the educational institution where they carry out their activity.  In the SER it is claimed that the programme teaching staff is mainly full-time and that the list has been verified with the e-Accreditation System operated by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency. The ET tacitly presumes, that the verification of KAA also comprises the criteria of maximum of two teaching positions per staff member.  Standard 3.4. At least 50% of the academic staff in the study program are full time employees, and account for at least 50% of the classes of the study program.		
mentioned, that not all listed staff members are found in the curriculum. The time allocation for research, teaching and administration is 40/30/30 for all staff members. However, information about full time (FT) and part time (PT) is not provided.  Standard 3.2. The teaching staff must comply with the legal requirements concerning the occupation of teaching positions included in the Administrative instruction on Accreditation.  In the SER it is claimed that the staff members of the program have been certified by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency.  Standard 3.3. Academic staff do not cover, within an academic year, more than two teaching positions (one full-time, one part-time), regardless of the educational institution where they carry out their activity.  In the SER it is claimed that the programme teaching staff is mainly full-time and that the list has been verified with the e-Accreditation System operated by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency. The ET tacitly presumes, that the verification of KAA also comprises the criteria of maximum of two teaching positions per staff member.  Standard 3.4. At least 50% of the academic staff in the study program are full time employees, and account for at least 50% of the classes of the study program.	A table with the required data for the staff is provided in the SER. An impressive	
allocation for research, teaching and administration is 40/30/30 for all staff members. However, information about full time (FT) and part time (PT) is not provided.  Standard 3.2. The teaching staff must comply with the legal requirements concerning the occupation of teaching positions included in the Administrative instruction on Accreditation.  In the SER it is claimed that the staff members of the program have been certified by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency.  Standard 3.3. Academic staff do not cover, within an academic year, more than two teaching positions (one full-time, one part-time), regardless of the educational institution where they carry out their activity.  In the SER it is claimed that the programme teaching staff is mainly full-time and that the list has been verified with the e-Accreditation System operated by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency. The ET tacitly presumes, that the verification of KAA also comprises the criteria of maximum of two teaching positions per staff member.  Standard 3.4. At least 50% of the academic staff in the study program are full time employees, and account for at least 50% of the classes of the study program.	number of 65 staff members is presented, 47 of them with doctor title. It has to be	
However, information about full time (FT) and part time (PT) is not provided.  Standard 3.2. The teaching staff must comply with the legal requirements concerning the occupation of teaching positions included in the Administrative instruction on Accreditation.  In the SER it is claimed that the staff members of the program have been certified by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency.  Standard 3.3. Academic staff do not cover, within an academic year, more than two teaching positions (one full-time, one part-time), regardless of the educational institution where they carry out their activity.  In the SER it is claimed that the programme teaching staff is mainly full-time and that the list has been verified with the e-Accreditation System operated by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency. The ET tacitly presumes, that the verification of KAA also comprises the criteria of maximum of two teaching positions per staff member.  Standard 3.4. At least 50% of the academic staff in the study program are full time employees, and account for at least 50% of the classes of the study program.	mentioned, that not all listed staff members are found in the curriculum. The time	
Standard 3.2. The teaching staff must comply with the legal requirements concerning the occupation of teaching positions included in the Administrative instruction on Accreditation.  In the SER it is claimed that the staff members of the program have been certified by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency.  Standard 3.3. Academic staff do not cover, within an academic year, more than two teaching positions (one full-time, one part-time), regardless of the educational institution where they carry out their activity.  In the SER it is claimed that the programme teaching staff is mainly full-time and that the list has been verified with the e-Accreditation System operated by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency. The ET tacitly presumes, that the verification of KAA also comprises the criteria of maximum of two teaching positions per staff member.  Standard 3.4. At least 50% of the academic staff in the study program are full time employees, and account for at least 50% of the classes of the study program.	allocation for research, teaching and administration is 40/30/30 for all staff members.	
the occupation of teaching positions included in the Administrative instruction on Accreditation.  In the SER it is claimed that the staff members of the program have been certified by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency.  Standard 3.3. Academic staff do not cover, within an academic year, more than two teaching positions (one full-time, one part-time), regardless of the educational institution where they carry out their activity.  In the SER it is claimed that the programme teaching staff is mainly full-time and that the list has been verified with the e-Accreditation System operated by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency. The ET tacitly presumes, that the verification of KAA also comprises the criteria of maximum of two teaching positions per staff member.  Standard 3.4. At least 50% of the academic staff in the study program are full time employees, and account for at least 50% of the classes of the study program.	However, information about full time (FT) and part time (PT) is not provided.	
In the SER it is claimed that the staff members of the program have been certified by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency.  Standard 3.3. Academic staff do not cover, within an academic year, more than two teaching positions (one full-time, one part-time), regardless of the educational institution where they carry out their activity.  In the SER it is claimed that the programme teaching staff is mainly full-time and that the list has been verified with the e-Accreditation System operated by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency. The ET tacitly presumes, that the verification of KAA also comprises the criteria of maximum of two teaching positions per staff member.  Standard 3.4. At least 50% of the academic staff in the study program are full time employees, and account for at least 50% of the classes of the study program.	Standard 3.2. The teaching staff must comply with the legal requirements concerning	A
In the SER it is claimed that the staff members of the program have been certified by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency.  Standard 3.3. Academic staff do not cover, within an academic year, more than two teaching positions (one full-time, one part-time), regardless of the educational institution where they carry out their activity.  In the SER it is claimed that the programme teaching staff is mainly full-time and that the list has been verified with the e-Accreditation System operated by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency. The ET tacitly presumes, that the verification of KAA also comprises the criteria of maximum of two teaching positions per staff member.  Standard 3.4. At least 50% of the academic staff in the study program are full time employees, and account for at least 50% of the classes of the study program.	the occupation of teaching positions included in the Administrative instruction on	
Standard 3.3. Academic staff do not cover, within an academic year, more than two teaching positions (one full-time, one part-time), regardless of the educational institution where they carry out their activity.  In the SER it is claimed that the programme teaching staff is mainly full-time and that the list has been verified with the e-Accreditation System operated by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency. The ET tacitly presumes, that the verification of KAA also comprises the criteria of maximum of two teaching positions per staff member.  Standard 3.4. At least 50% of the academic staff in the study program are full time employees, and account for at least 50% of the classes of the study program.	Accreditation.	
Standard 3.3. Academic staff do not cover, within an academic year, more than two teaching positions (one full-time, one part-time), regardless of the educational institution where they carry out their activity.  In the SER it is claimed that the programme teaching staff is mainly full-time and that the list has been verified with the e-Accreditation System operated by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency. The ET tacitly presumes, that the verification of KAA also comprises the criteria of maximum of two teaching positions per staff member.  Standard 3.4. At least 50% of the academic staff in the study program are full time employees, and account for at least 50% of the classes of the study program.		
Standard 3.3. Academic staff do not cover, within an academic year, more than two teaching positions (one full-time, one part-time), regardless of the educational institution where they carry out their activity.  In the SER it is claimed that the programme teaching staff is mainly full-time and that the list has been verified with the e-Accreditation System operated by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency. The ET tacitly presumes, that the verification of KAA also comprises the criteria of maximum of two teaching positions per staff member.  Standard 3.4. At least 50% of the academic staff in the study program are full time employees, and account for at least 50% of the classes of the study program.	In the SER it is claimed that the staff members of the program have been certified	
In the SER it is claimed that the programme teaching staff is mainly full-time and that the list has been verified with the e-Accreditation System operated by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency. The ET tacitly presumes, that the verification of KAA also comprises the criteria of maximum of two teaching positions per staff member.  Standard 3.4. At least 50% of the academic staff in the study program are full time employees, and account for at least 50% of the classes of the study program.	·	
In the SER it is claimed that the programme teaching staff is mainly full-time and that the list has been verified with the e-Accreditation System operated by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency. The ET tacitly presumes, that the verification of KAA also comprises the criteria of maximum of two teaching positions per staff member.  Standard 3.4. At least 50% of the academic staff in the study program are full time employees, and account for at least 50% of the classes of the study program.		A
In the SER it is claimed that the programme teaching staff is mainly full-time and that the list has been verified with the e-Accreditation System operated by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency. The ET tacitly presumes, that the verification of KAA also comprises the criteria of maximum of two teaching positions per staff member.  Standard 3.4. At least 50% of the academic staff in the study program are full time employees, and account for at least 50% of the classes of the study program.		
that the list has been verified with the e-Accreditation System operated by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency. The ET tacitly presumes, that the verification of KAA also comprises the criteria of maximum of two teaching positions per staff member.  Standard 3.4. At least 50% of the academic staff in the study program are full time B employees, and account for at least 50% of the classes of the study program.	institution where they carry out their activity.	
that the list has been verified with the e-Accreditation System operated by the Kosovo Accreditation Agency. The ET tacitly presumes, that the verification of KAA also comprises the criteria of maximum of two teaching positions per staff member.  Standard 3.4. At least 50% of the academic staff in the study program are full time B employees, and account for at least 50% of the classes of the study program.		
Kosovo Accreditation Agency. The ET tacitly presumes, that the verification of KAA also comprises the criteria of maximum of two teaching positions per staff member.  Standard 3.4. At least 50% of the academic staff in the study program are full time employees, and account for at least 50% of the classes of the study program.  B		
KAA also comprises the criteria of maximum of two teaching positions per staff member.  Standard 3.4. At least 50% of the academic staff in the study program are full time employees, and account for at least 50% of the classes of the study program.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
member.  Standard 3.4. At least 50% of the academic staff in the study program are full time B employees, and account for at least 50% of the classes of the study program.		
Standard 3.4. At least 50% of the academic staff in the study program are full time employees, and account for at least 50% of the classes of the study program.		
employees, and account for at least 50% of the classes of the study program.		В
	employees, and account for at least 50% of the classes of the study program.	
In the SER it is only mentioned that "the academic staff assigned to the curriculum		
	In the SER it is only mentioned that "the academic staff assigned to the curriculum	
cover all subjects". Since information about full/part time is missing, Standard 3.4	cover all subjects". Since information about full/part time is missing, Standard 3.4	





# Agjencia e Kosovës për Akreditim Agencija Kosova za Akreditaciju Kosovo Accreditation Agency

cannot be evaluated. Considering the high number of involved staff members, it can	
be assumed that the standard is met substantially.	
Standard 3.5. For each student group (defined by the statute of the institution) and	A
for every 60 ECTS credits in the study program, the institution has employed at least	
one full time staff with PhD title or equivalent title in the case of artistic/applied	
science institutions.	
A list of five staff members with PhD degree is presented in the SER (p. 36).	
Therefore, the criterion is even over-fulfilled.	
Standard 3.6. Opportunities are provided for additional professional development of	A
teaching staff, with special assistance given to any who are facing difficulties.	
Standard 3.6 is met by a well developed staff development plan as described in the SER.	
Standard 3.7. The responsibilities of all teaching staff, especially full-time, include	В
the engagement in the academic community, availability for consultations with	
students and community service.	
Standard 3.7 is not adequately considered in the SER. Specific information is missing regarding engagement in the academic community, student consultations and community service. From scattered information elsewhere in the SER, it can be assumed that the standard is met substantially.	
Standard 3.8. Academic staff evaluation is conducted regularly at least through self-	A
evaluation, students, peer and superiors' evaluations, and occur on a formal basis at	
least once each year. The results of the evaluation are made publicly available.	
The Year-End Review creates a record of staff productivity in the areas of research, teaching, service and administration.	
Standard 3.9. Strategies for quality enhancement include improving the teaching	A
strategies and quality of learning materials.	
A procedure for program evaluation and quality assurance, governed by the UBT Quality Manual, is in place.	
Standard 3.10. Teachers retired at age limit or for other reasons lose the status of	В
full-time teachers and are considered part-time teachers.	





#### Agjencia e Kosovës për Akreditim Agencija Kosova za Akreditaciju Kosovo Accreditation Agency

Overall	В	
concerning the age limit are part of the mentioned Administrative Instruction.		l
There is no explicit statement in the SER, but it can be assumed that regulations		
		l

Compliance level: Substantially compliant

#### ET recommendations:

1. A clear statement about full time (FT) or part time (PT) employment of each program staff member should be provided. I case of part time employment also the extent in percent should be indicated.





# Agjencia e Kosovës për Akreditim Agencija Kosova za Akreditaciju Kosovo Accreditation Agency

#### 2.4. Educational process content

<b>Educational process content</b>	CL
Standard 4.1. The study program is modelled on qualification objectives. These include subject-related and interdisciplinary aspects as well as the acquisition of disciplinary, methodological and generic skills and competencies. The aspects refer especially to academic or artistic competencies, to the capability of taking up adequate employment, contributing to the civil society and of developing the students' personality.  The information provided in the SER under Standard 4.1 misses the point. However,	В
the necessary information can be found under Standard 4.3 (SER p. 52ff), where the qualification objectives are well described.	
Standard 4.2. The study program complies with the National Qualifications Framework and the Framework for Qualifications of the European Higher Education Area. The individual components of the program are combined in a way to best achieve the specified qualification objectives and provide for adequate forms of teaching and learning.	A
First part of Standard 4.2 concerning compliance with National and EU frameworks is already asked and answered in Standard 1.2. Second part about program components in terms of teaching methods is well addressed in the SER.	
Standard 4.3. The disciplines within the curriculum are provided in a logical flow and meet the definition and precise determination of the general and specific competencies, as well as the compatibility with the study programs and curricula delivered in the EHEA. To be listed at least 7 learning outcomes for the study program under evaluation.	A
The curriculum as presented in the SER under Standard 4.1 provides a logical flow of subjects and allows specialisation in the third year. Learning outcomes structured in disciplinary knowledge, professional capabilities and generic skills are well defined.	
Standard 4.4. The disciplines within the curriculum have analytical syllabuses which comprise at least the following: the discipline's objectives, the basic thematic content, learning outcomes, the distribution of classes, seminars and applicative activities, students' assessment system, the minimal bibliography, etc. The full course	A





# Agjencia e Kosovës për Akreditim Agencija Kosova za Akreditaciju Kosovo Accreditation Agency

description/ syllabuses of each subject/ module should be attached only in electronic	
form to the self-assessment report for the study program under evaluation.	
All required course information is provided in a well-arranged format in the syllabus	
found in Annex of the SER.	
Standard 4.5. If the language of instruction is other than Albanian, actions are taken	n.a.
to ensure that language skills of both students and academic staff are adequate for	π.α.
instruction in that language when students begin their studies. This may be done	
through language training prior to the commencement of the program.	
Language of instruction is Albanian.	
Standard 4.6. The student-teacher relationship is a partnership in which each assumes	A
the responsibility of reaching the learning outcomes. Learning outcomes are explained	
and discussed with students from the perspective of their relevance to the students'	
development.	
Students receive an induction session for each academic year.	
Standard 4.7. Teaching strategies are fit for the different types of learning outcomes	A
programs are intended to develop. Strategies of teaching and assessment set out in	
program and course specifications are followed with flexibility to meet the needs of	
different groups of students.	
Teaching and assessment methods are well-defined on course level in the syllabus.	
Standard 4.8. Student assessment mechanisms are conducted fairly and objectively,	A
are appropriate for the different forms of learning sought and are clearly	
communicated to students at the beginning of courses.	
The exam content is defined by the course syllabus. Mode of examination, assessment	
and duration of certain parts of the exam are regulated by Program Council.	
Standard 4.9. Appropriate, valid and reliable mechanisms are used for verifying	В
standards of student achievement. The standard of work required for different grades	
is consistent over time, comparable in courses offered within a program, and in	
comparison with other study programs at highly regarded institutions.	





# Agjencia e Kosovës për Akreditim Agencija Kosova za Akreditaciju Kosovo Accreditation Agency

Overall	В
the SER.	
A comprehensive list of cooperation stakeholders of the labor market is provided in	
institutions/organisations/practical training units.	
institution signs cooperation agreements, contracts or other documents with	
Standard 4.12. In order to facilitate the practice stages, the higher education	A
about the internship of the program is missing in the SER.	
stakeholders of the labor market (SER p. 11) and even runs an internship program, managed and coordinated by the UBT Careers Office (SER p. 71), specific information	
Although, UTB places a lot of emphasis on cooperation with industry and other	
The information provided in the SER under Standard 4.11 to the largest part misses the point. An internship of 4 ECTS is included in semester 6 of the curriculum.	
in the study program.	
reports; students during practice stages have assigned tutors among the academic staff	
of the students at the practical training organisations is monitored through activity	
understood by students. The practice stages are allocated ETCS credits and the work	
that those learning outcomes and the strategies to develop that learning are	
learning outcomes are clearly specified and effective processes are followed to ensure	
Standard 4.11. If the study program includes practice stages, the intended student	С
Procedures in the event that candidates feel that the evaluation was inappropriate are established and more comprehensively described under Standard 4.9 in the SER.	
assessed.	
situations where standards of student achievement are inadequate or inconsistently	
Standard 4.10. Policies and procedures include actions to be taken in to dealing with	A
information can be found under Standard 4.8, where the grading system is described.	
The information provided under Standard 4.9 misses the point. However, the necessary	

Compliance level: Substantially compliant

#### ET recommendations:

1. More information about the internship and the Diploma thesis should be provided





# Agjencia e Kosovës për Akreditim Agencija Kosova za Akreditaciju Kosovo Accreditation Agency

#### 2.5. Students

Students	CL
Standard 5.1. There is a clear and formally adopted admission procedure at	В
institutional level that the study program respects when organising students'	
recruitment. Admission requirements are consistently and fairly applied for all	
students.	
It can be assumed that the admission procedure is clearly regulated in the UBT	
statutes. However, the admission procedure is not described in the SER, neither by	
text nor by cross-reference.	
Standard 5.2. All students enrolled in the study program posses a high school	A
graduation diploma or other equivalent document of study, according to MEST	
requirements.	
Applicants have to meet the legal requirements in Kosovo for the registration in the	
first academic year of Bachelor study. Successful applicants have passed the high	
school national examination, achieved 40% or higher national Matura examination in Chemistry, Biology and English.	
Standard 5.3. The study groups are dimensioned so as to ensure an effective and	С
interactive teaching and learning process.	
ggr	
The information provided in the SER under Standard 5.3 misses the point. Scheduled	
annual student intake is 60. However, due to student transfer, actual student number	
is temporarily higher (e.g. 134 students in 2017, SER p.18). A clear statement is	
missing, how student groups are formed for interactive teaching or practical	
components.	
Standard 5.4. Feedback to students on their performance and results of assessments	A
is given promptly and accompanied by mechanisms for assistance if needed.	
According to the SER, each student is allocated a mentor who provides guidance	
and support.	
Standard 5.5. The results obtained by the students throughout the study cycles are	В
certified by the academic record.	





# Agjencia e Kosovës për Akreditim Agencija Kosova za Akreditaciju Kosovo Accreditation Agency

The information provided in the SER under Standard 5.5 misses the point. However,	
under Standard 4.1 it is claimed that "Diplomas are accompanied by a Diploma	
Supplement and follow the European Standards requirements (SER p. 45)".	
Standard 5.6. Flexible treatment of students in special situations is ensured with	A
respect to deadlines and formal requirements in the program and to all	
examinations.	
UBT staff assists students with disabilities in meeting their full academic potential.	
Students concerned can register to a disability support system.	
Standard 5.7. Records of student completion rates are kept for all courses and for	A
the program as a whole and included among quality indicators.	
Records are administered using the Student Management Information System (SMIS).	
Standard 5.8. Effective procedures are being used to ensure that work submitted by	A
students is original.	
UBT has created a website, where the students' work is posted, i.e. a paper once	
published cannot be republished as the tracking software Turnitin is in place.	
Standard 5.9. Students' rights and obligations are made publicly available,	A
promoted to all those concerned and enforced equitably; these will include the right	
to academic appeals.	
UBT Code of Ethics is in place and published on the institute website. Violating of	
academic norms of behaviour are handled by the Ethics Sub-Committee.	
Standard 5.10. The students' transfer between higher education institutions,	A
faculties and study programs is clearly regulated in formal internal documents.	
The students' transfer procedure is described under Standard 5.10 of the SER. It can	
be assumed that the procedure is also described or cross-referenced in the UBT	
<u> </u>	
statutes.	<b>A</b>
Standard 5.11. Academic staff is available at sufficient scheduled times for	A
consultation and advice to students. Adequate tutorial assistance is provided to	
ensure understanding and ability to apply learning.	,
A comprehensive system of student consultation is established in three study phases:	
on registration, during the study and for carrier planning.	
on registration, during the study and for currier planning.	





# Agjencia e Kosovës për Akreditim Agencija Kosova za Akreditaciju Kosovo Accreditation Agency

Compliance level: Substantially compliant

#### **ET recommendations:**

1. The size of the student population should be justified in a comprehensible way





# Agjencia e Kosovës për Akreditim Agencija Kosova za Akreditaciju Kosovo Accreditation Agency

#### 2.6. Research

Research	CL
Standard 6.1. The study program has defined scientific/applied research objectives (on	A
its own or as part of a research centre or interdisciplinary program), which are also	
reflected in the research development plan of the institution; sufficient financial,	
logistic and human resources are allocated for achieving the proposed research	
objectives.	
The research strategy of Food Science and Biotechnology is comprehensively	
described in the SER and even more extensively in the Annex. It can be assumed, that	
the research activities are also reflected in the various courses and in the final thesis.	
Standard 6.2. Expectations for teaching staff involvement in research and scholarly	A
activities are clearly specified, and performance in relation to these expectations is	
considered in staff evaluation and promotion criteria.	
According to their contracts, UBT academic and research staff members are required to publish two articles per year.	
Standard 6.3. Clear policies are established for defining what is recognized as	C
research, consistent with international standards and established norms in the field of	
study of the program.	
UBT is following the Administrative Instruction (MASHT) Nr.01/2018 for the Recognition Principles of Platforms and International Journals with Reviews and Administrative Instruction for Supplement and Precision - Annex - I - (MASHT) 7/2018 of AI Nr.01/2018 for the Recognition Principles of Platforms and International Journals with Reviews.	
Google Scholar was chosen as reference publication platform. Since Google Scholar	
is also indexing non-reviewed publications, this platform is not suitable to measure the	
quality of publication records.	
Standard 6.4. The academic staff has a proven track record of research results on the	С
same topics as their teaching activity.	
Publishing records of five main responsible staff member of the program are	
exemplarily listed in the SER. When checking the records, it was noticed that the	





# Agjencia e Kosovës për Akreditim Agencija Kosova za Akreditaciju Kosovo Accreditation Agency

publications of the last three years were mainly presented at the UBT International	
Conferences. However, the international outreach of this UBT conference is very limited. Most of the authors come from Kosovo, if not from UBT itself. Although	
indexed in Google Scholar, those articles are neither internationally per-reviewed nor	
do they attract attention as the low citation index reveals ( $h \le 2$ ).	
Standard 6.5. The academic and research staff publish their work in speciality magazines or publishing houses, scientific/applied/artistic products are presented at conferences, sessions, symposiums, seminars etc. and contracts, expertise, consultancy, conventions, etc. are provided to partners inside the country and/or abroad.	В
A considerable number of publications in journals and in conference proceedings is mentioned in the SER. However, many publications originate from UBT International Conferences with limitations as mentioned in Standard 6.4. Consultancy and expertise is provided in cooperation with industry governed by the UBT Food Industrial Board comprising 13 members from industry and public sector.	
Standard 6.6. Research is validated through: scientific and applied research publications, artistic products, technological transfer through consultancy centres, scientific parks and other structures for validation.	A
This Standard is already asked and addressed in Standard 6.5. In addition, the UBT Technology Transfer Centre (TTC) is protecting intellectual property of staff and students, and supports industry engagement and the commercialization of in UBT generated research	
Standard 6.7. Each academic staff member and researcher has produced at least an average of one scientific/applied research publication or artistic outcome/product per year for the past three years.	В
In the SER under Standard 6.7 it is claimed that "based on the contract with the college, each person engaged in regular employment as an academic staff is required by the contract to publish at least one research paper within the academic year". This is in conflict with statement under Standard 6.2, claiming two publications per year. The requirements of Standard 6.7 are still met. However, it is unsatisfactory that the information in the SER is not consistent.	
Standard 6.8. Academic and research staff publish under the name of the institution in Kosovo they are affiliated to as full time staff.	A
V 00 0 00	





#### Agjencia e Kosovës për Akreditim Agencija Kosova za Akreditaciju Kosovo Accreditation Agency

Standard 6.8 was obviously omitted by mistake in the SER. Accordingly the following Standards are wrongly numbered.	
Under Statement 6.9 an adequate answer is found: Every staff member is expected to publish under the name of UBT. Staff members are required to post their publications on the platforms like Google Scholar and Research Gate. Moreover, UBT tracks staff publications posted on other platforms as well.	
Standard 6.9. Academic staff are encouraged to include in their teaching information about their research and scholarly activities that are relevant to courses they teach, together with other significant research developments in the field.	A
In the SER it is claimed that the academic staff is continuously encouraged to include research component that relates to the topics covered by the respective professor as part of the syllabus. Staff is expected to vary 30% of their syllabi each year according to the latest advancements in their fields and the outcomes of their research activities.	
Standard 6.10. Policies are established for ownership of intellectual property and clear procedures set out for commercialization of ideas developed by staff and students.	A
This Standard is already answered under Standard 6.6.	
Standard 6.11. Students are engaged in research projects and other activities.	A
In the internship and the Diploma Thesis the students are engaged in research projects	_
Overall	В

Compliance level: Substantially compliant

#### ET recommendations:

- 1. Google Scholar is not an adequate platform for measuring the quality of publication records, other platforms like Scopus (Elsevier) or Web of Science (Clarivate) should be used
- 2. UTB International Conferences are a valuable tool to stimulate research. However, the conference cannot yet be considered international. International committee members and participants should be attracted and a peer-review system has to be established.





# Agjencia e Kosovës për Akreditim Agencija Kosova za Akreditaciju Kosovo Accreditation Agency

3. One peer-reviewed journal publication per year should be expected from staff members in addition to the UTB International Conferences papers.





# Agjencia e Kosovës për Akreditim Agencija Kosova za Akreditaciju Kosovo Accreditation Agency

#### 2.7. Infrastructure and resources

Infrastructure and resources	CL
Standard 7.1. The adequate long-term implementation of the study program is ensured	A
in quantitative terms as regards premises, human resources and equipment. At the	
same time, it is guaranteed that qualitative aspects are also taken into account.	
UBT provides a sufficient number of well-equipped lecture rooms and laboratories, as	
well as reference libraries and electronic access to scientific literature in public	
databases and own Knowledge Database. Furthermore, dormitories are available for	
students and a learning platform enables e-teaching.	
Standard 7.2. There is a financial plan at the level of the study program that would	A
demonstrate the sustainability of the study program for the next minimum three years.	
A hudget fouths nout these vesses is presented in the SED, he sad mainly an etudent force	
A budget for the next three years is presented in the SER, based mainly on student fees, but also on donations and services delivered to industry and the public sector. The	
expenditure plan covers program operation, staff retention and recruitment, initiation	
of applied research and improving student services.	
Standard 7.3. The higher education institution must demonstrate with adequate	A
documents (property deeds, lease contracts, inventories, invoices etc.) that, for the	
study program submitted for evaluation it possesses the following, for the next at least	
three years:	
a) owned or rented spaces adequate for the educational process;	
b) owned or rented laboratories, with the adequate equipment for all the compulsory	
disciplines within the curriculum, wherever the analytical syllabus includes such	
activities;	
c) adequate software for the disciplines of study included in the curriculum, with	
utilisation licence;	
d) library equipped with reading rooms, group work rooms and its own book stock	
according to the disciplines included in the curricula.	
Required infrastructure in items a) to d) are documented in the SER. Documents of	
proof are not provided, but were demonstrated during a site visit in the year 2019.	





# Agjencia e Kosovës për Akreditim Agencija Kosova za Akreditaciju Kosovo Accreditation Agency

Standard 7.4. The number of seats in the lecture rooms, seminar rooms and	A
laboratories must be related to the study groups' size (series, groups, subgroups); the	
applicative activities for the speciality disciplines included in the curricula are carried	
out in laboratories equipped with IT equipment.	
Adequate lecture rooms, laboratories and IT infrastructure is documented in the SER.	
Standard 7.5. The education institution's libraries must ensure, for each of the study	Α
programs:	
a) a number of seats in the reading rooms corresponding to at least 10% of the total number	
of students in the study program;	
b) a number of seats in the group work rooms corresponding to at least 10% of the total number	
of students in the study program;	
c) their own book stock from Albanian and foreign speciality literature, enough to cover the	
disciplines within the curricula, out of which at least 50% should represent book titles or	
speciality courses of recognised publishers, from the last 10 years;	
d) a book stock within its own library with a sufficient number of books so as to cover the needs	
of all students in the cycle and year of study the respective discipline is provided for;	
e) a sufficient number of subscriptions to Albanian and foreign publications and periodicals,	
according to the stated mission.	
Items a) to e) are met by the UBT facilities in including a reference library with 80,000 titles	
and electronic access according the SER. However, the Annex D with a list of literature for	
the program (referenced p. 108 of the SER) was not found in the documents.	
Standard 7.6. The infrastructure and facilities dedicated to the implementation of the	Α
program is adapted to students with special needs.	
program is adapted to students with special needs.	
Standard 7.6 was not explicitly addressed in the SER. Concerning disabled students,	
UBT is offering special support.	
Overall	Α

Compliance level: Fully compliant

#### **ET recommendations:**

1. UBT's infrastructure appears as lighthouse in the region. The management should try to extend funding for the maintenance and expansion by industry cooperation.





Agjencia e Kosovës për Akreditim Agencija Kosova za Akreditaciju Kosovo Accreditation Agency

#### 3. OVERALL EVALUATION AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE ET

In conclusion, the Expert Team considers that the study program **Food Science and Biotechnology**, **B.Sc.** offered by the University of Business and Technology (UBT) Prishtina is *sustainably compliant* with the standards included in the KAA Accreditation manual and, therefore, recommends **to accredit** the study program for a duration of **3 years** with a number of **100** students to be enrolled in the program.

#### 4. APPENDICES (if available)

1. No Appendix

#### **Expert Team**

Chair

Prof. Dr. Joachim Müller

1.6.2021

(Signature)

(Print Name)

(Date)